

# **Maryland Department of Environment**

Water and Science Administration Compliance Program 1800 Washington Blvd, Baltimore, MD 21230 410-537-3510

AI ID:	20129	Inspector:	Shailaja Polasi
Site Name: Facility Address: County:	<b>Shoppes At Apple Green WWTP</b> 2880 Dunkirk Way, Dunkirk, MD 2075 Calvert County	54	
Inspection Date:	February 26, 2020		<b>te/Time:</b> February 26, 2020, 01:30 AM <b>e /Time:</b> February 26, 2020, 03:30 PM
Media Type(s):	NPDES Municipal Ground Water		
Contact(s):	Chandra Singh, Owner, Singh Operational Services Bill Shreve, Operator, Singh Operational Services Lyla Walrath, Office Manager, Singh Operational Services Jessica Nelson, Property Manager, Echo Realty Brooke Hebb, Calvert County Department of Health Leonard Nale, VP DACCA		

# **NPDES Municipal Ground Water**

Permit / Approval Numbers: DP-3400

**PAF Number: 20-2341** 

Site Status: Active

Site Condition: Noncompliance

Recommended Action: Continue Routine Inspection

Inspection Reason: PAF Follow-up

**Evidence Collected:** Photos/Videos Taken, Visual Observation

#### **Inspection Findings:**

An announced follow up compliance evaluation inspection was scheduled on this date. I met on site with Leonard Nale, VP DACCA; Brooke Hebb, Calvert County Department of Health; Jessica Nelson, Property Manager, Echo Realty; Chandra Singh, Owner, Singh Operational Services; Bill Shreve, Operator, Singh Operational Services and Lyla Walrath, Office Manager, Singh Operational Services. After preliminary introductory meeting I began the inspection reviewing the plant operations followed by site walk of the wastewater treatment plant. The sky was cloudy with no rain at the time of the inspection. All the above personnel accompanied me during the inspection. On this date Mr. Nale stated he has received complaints from home owners (community adjacent to the wastewater treatment plant) and employees of Harris teeter (across the street) about noise and odor from the treatment plant. On this date Mr. Nale stated aeration system in the holding pond/lagoon was not installed as proposed in 2010.

On this date Mr. Singh stated the blowers operate on timer and are inside sound insulation enclosure which helps to minimize the noise. Mr. Singh stated noise study was conducted on the blower system at the time of the installation and the sound level was found to be below the county ordinance limit. **Corrective Action**: Advised Ms. Nelson and Mr. Singh sound proof insulation or any other preventive measure should be added to the blower structures to minimize the noise from the blowers. On this date I advised the wastewater treatment plant shall be operated from becoming a public nuisance as required by NPDES permit.

On this date I observed the equalization tank, SBR, post eq tank, sludge holding tank were covered with tarp. Mr. Singh stated tarp is used to cover the metal crates over the treatment components to help control the odor near the equalization tank. On this date Ms. Nelson and Mr. Singh stated they plan to install insulation foam inserts to the metal crates to control the odor near the equalization tank. On this date I smelled slight odor near the equalization tank. On this date we walked around the holding pond on site and were able to smell odor from the equalization tank occasionally based on wind movement at different locations in the facility. **Corrective Action**: Advised Ms. Nelson to notify the Department when the foam insulation inserts will be added to the metal crates near the equalization tank. Pictures are taken.

On this date we walked around the holding pond/lagoon and no odor was noted from the holding pond onsite. On this date we observed the blower to the lagoon aerator was in "ON" position but no air bubbles or mixing was observed in the lagoon. On this date it was unclear if the air diffuser in the lagoon was in working condition. **Corrective Action**: Advised Ms. Nelson to Submit a letter to the Department explaining (1) what type of aerator/air diffuser is installed in the holding pond (2) manufacturer recommendations for operations and maintenance of the aerator/air diffuser (3) what aerator/air diffuser was approved as part of the initial design of the treatment plant and (4) inspect and confirm whether the aerator/air diffuser in the holding pond is in good working condition.

No dye testing was conducted on this date. On this date I advised Ms. Nelson the wastewater treatment plant will be in non-compliance until necessary steps are taken to address the odor and noise problems. **Recommendation:** On this date I recommended Ms. Nelson to add more trees around the perimeter of the treatment plant to limit the visibility of the treatment plant from the adjacent neighborhood.

# With respect to the above MDE NPDES Permit, violations of the Environmental Article, Title 9 were observed on this date:

1. Failed to operate the treatment plant to minimize the odor from escaping the facility as required by NPDES permit General Condition-"Nuisance and Odor Restrictions".

# To bring this site into compliance with Environmental Article Title 9, the following corrective action should be made immediately upon receipt of this report.

- 1. All the above mentioned corrective actions should be addressed immediately.
- 2. Submit a letter to the Department explaining the steps taken to address the odor and noise nuisance at the wastewater treatment plant. The letter should include timeline when the corrective actions will be completed. The letter should be submitted to the Department in 15 business day from the date of receipt of this report.
- 3. Submit the summary of the noise study conducted for blower structure to the Department.

**Note:** Sentences in the report are bold/highlighted to bring readers attention.

Follow-up inspections will be scheduled on later date.

Contact this inspector upon implementation of the requested corrective actions, reasonably necessary to bring the site into compliance. If the corrective actions cannot be completed within the prescribed time frames above, you should continue to advise this inspector, at least every 30 days, of the status of the measures taken to complete the corrective actions.

If you have any questions, need assistance or to request a re-inspection, please contact this inspector *at or in writing at* <u>410-537-3521</u> or by e-mail at <u>shailaja.polasi@maryland.gov</u>.

Inspection Item	Status	Comments
1. Does the facility have a discharge permit?	Yes	
[Environment Article §9-323(a)(1-3)]		
2. Is the discharge permit current?	Not Evaluated	
[Environment Article §9-328(a)(1)]		
3. If the permit is not current, has facility	Not	
applied for renewal? [Environment Article §9-	Applicable	
328(a)(1)]		
4. Does the facility operate as authorized	Out of	See FIR
bytheir current permit? [COMAR	Compliance	
26.08.04.01B(4)]		
5. Has the Permitee exceeded the permitted	Not Evaluated	
capacity of the WWTP? [40 CFR Part 122		
Subpart C Section 122.42.(b)(1-3)]		
6. Is the number and location of discharge	Not Evaluated	
points as described in the discharge permit?		
[Environment Article §9-331]		
7. Has permittee submitted correct name and	Not Evaluated	
address of receiving waters? [40 CFR 122.21.j(3)]		
8. Is the permittee meeting the compliance	Not Evaluated	
schedule per permit requirements? [COMAR	Not Evaluated	
26.08.04.02-1A(3)]		
9. Has the operator or superintendent been	Not Evaluated	
certified by the Board in the appropriate		
classification for the facility? [COMAR		
26.06.01.05A(1)]		
10. Are adequate records being maintained	Not Evaluated	
for the sampling date, time, and exact		
location; analysis dates and times; individual		
performing analysis; and analytical results?		
[COMAR 26.08.04.03B(3)(a, b, c, e)]		
11. Are adequate records being maintained	Not Evaluated	
for the analytical methods/techniques used?		
[COMAR 26.08.04.03B(3)(d)]		

#### **NPDES Municipal Ground Water- Inspection Checklist**

### **NPDES Municipal Ground Water- Inspection Checklist**

		Vater- Inspection Checklist
Inspection Item	Status	Comments
12. Does the permittee retained a minimum of	Not Evaluated	
3 years worth of monitoring records including		
raw data and original strip chart recordings;		
calibration and maintenance records; and		
reports? [COMAR 26.08.04.03B(1)]		
13. Do lab records reflect that lab and	Not Evaluated	
monitoring equipment are being properly		
calibrated and maintained? [Environment		
Article §9-331]		
14. Does the permittee/laboratory use suitable	Not Evaluated	
QA/QC procedures and operate a formal		
quality assurance (QA) program using		
appropriate controls? [40 CFR Part 136.7]		
15. Has the permittee submitted the	Not Evaluated	
monitoring results on the proper Discharge		
Monitoring Report form? [COMAR		
26.08.04.03C(1)]		
16. Do the Discharge Monitoring Reports	Not Evaluated	
reflect permit conditions? [COMAR		
26.08.04.03C]		
17. Has the permittee submitted these results	Not Evaluated	
within the allotted time electronically?		
[COMAR 26.08.04.03C(2), 40 CFR Part		
127.16]		
18. Is the facility being properly operated and	Not Evaluated	
maintained including:(a) stand-by power or		
equivalent provisions available, (b) adequate		
alarm system for power or equipment failure		
available, (c) all treatments units are in		
service, . [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.e]		
19. Is sewage sludge managed correctly per	Not Evaluated	
permit requirements? [COMAR 26.04.06.09]		
20. If a by-pass occurred since last inspection,	Not Evaluated	
has the permittee submitted notice of the by-		
pass within the allotted time? [40 CFR Part		
122 Subpart C Section 122.41.m(4)(i)(C)]		
21. If a non-complying discharge occurred	Not Evaluated	
since the last inspection, was the regulatory		
agency notified within the allotted time? [40		
CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section 122.41.1(6)]		
22. If applicable, has the permitee complied	Info	See FIR
with all special conditions of their permit?		
[COMAR 26.08.03.07D]		
23. Have overflows occurred since the last	Not Evaluated	
inspection? [COMAR 26.08.10.02A]		
24. Have records of overflows been	Not Evaluated	
maintained at the facility for at least five		
years? [COMAR 26.08.10.06A-B]		
	•	

# NPDES Municipal Ground Water- Inspection Checklist

Inspection Item	Status	Comments
25. Are flow measuring devices properly	Not Evaluated	Comments
installed and operated, calibration frequency		
of flow meter adequate, flow measurement		
equipment adequate to handle expected ranges		
of flow? [40 CFR Part 122 Subpart C Section		
122.41.e]		
	Not Evaluated	
26. Are discharge monitoring points adequate	Not Evaluated	
for representative sampling? [Environment		
Article §9-331(4)]	Net E el etel	
27. Do parameters and sampling frequency	Not Evaluated	
meet the minimum requirements?		
[Environment Article §9-331(4)]		
28. Does the permittee use the method of	Not Evaluated	
sample collection required by the permit?		
[Environment Article §9-331(4)]		
29. Are analytical testing procedures used	Not Evaluated	
approved by EPA? [COMAR		
26.08.01.02B(1)]		
30. If alternate analytical procedures are	Not Evaluated	
being used, has proper approval been		
obtained? [COMAR 26.08.01.02B(1)]		
31. Has the permittee notified the Department	Not Evaluated	
of the name and address of the commercial		
laboratory? [COMAR 26.08.04.03A(3)]		
32. Were discharges observed at the	Not Evaluated	
authorized outfalls? [Environment Article §9-		
314(b)(1)]		
33. If discharges were observed, do the	Not Evaluated	
discharges or receiving waters have any		
visible pollutants observed? [Environment		
Article §9-314(b)(1)]		
34. Were discharge samples collected?	No	
[Environment Article §9-261(c)(1)]		
35. Does this facility have coverage under a	Not	
NPDES stormwater discharge permit? [40	Applicable	
CFR Part 122 Subpart B Section		
122.26.(c)(1)(I)(A-B)]		
36. If the permittee has coverage under a	Not	
NPDES storm water permit, has a storm water	Applicable	
pollution prevention plan been developed and	**	
implemented as required? [40 CFR Part 122		
Subpart B Section 122.26.(c)(1)(I)(A-B)]		
37. Are the permit conditions being met?	Out of	See FIR
[Environment Article §9-326(a)(1)]	Compliance	
	- sinpilarioo	

Inspector: <u>Shailaja</u> Shailaja

Shailaja Polasi Shailaja Polasi/Date shailaja.polasi@maryland.gov 410-537-3510 Received by: \_\_\_\_\_

Signature/Date

Inspection Date:	February 26, 2020
Site Name:	Shoppes At Apple Green WWTP
Facility Address:	2880 Dunkirk Way, Dunkirk, MD 20754

Print Name

<b>Report Provided</b>	<u>):</u>	
[ ] Fax		
[ ] Email		
[ ] Regular Mai		
[ ] Certified Ma		